MAR 3 0 2023 AUGTIN DIVISION CASE NO. A-22-CV-PSIVE D GLEASON, et al. MAR 30 2023 DEFENDANTS. CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION PURSUANT TO SERVED SUBPOENT REQUESTS COMES NOW, Rodney A. Hurdsman, Plaintiff pro se in the abovestyled and numbered cause, and moves this Court for an Order to compet Wise County District Afformay James Stainton, and Afformey Raymond S. Napolitan, to produce documents, information or objects they incre Commanded to, after being properly served Supposense issued by the Clerk of the Court, by Order of the Court, of which they ignored audfor failed to comply with, and states to this Honorable Covered the following: 1. Plaintiff motioned this Court to have two suppoends issued for the printwhen of documents, information or objects relevant to Plauntiffs Claims. The Court Granted Plantiff's motion, and the Clerk issued Supprenas directed to Wise Country D.A., James Stanton, and attorney Raymond S. Napolitan, respectively. 2. The James Staurton supposens was properly served on him at his office Pocated of 101 North Trinity Street, Suite 200, Decatur, Texas, by the Plaintiff's parents Rodney M. and Valentina Hurdsman in early 2022.

RECEIVE Case 1:22/cv/2002545RFTEDOCDMS6TR38T FILE 103/30/23 Page 1 of 5

The subposes served on Mr. Standon communicated from to produce the file, and all electronically stored data, in case numbers CR 17817 CR 17819.

Clerk produced the "Clerk's Record" in the cases, James Standon Ignored the subposence, and failed to produce the prosecutor's office file and electronically stored data concerning the cases. Plaintiff wrote two separate letters in late 2022, and early 2023, to Mr. Standon, informing him of his responsibilities concerning a federal subposence, notifying him of his deficient performance, and requesting him to factionate comply with the subposence served on upon him.

Both of these letters were ignored; nor did Mr. Standon respond to either of them in writing or otherwise.

3. The Raymond Napolitan subpoend was properly served upon him at his office located at 916 W. Delknap Street, Fort Worth, Texas, by the Plaintiff's parents Rodiney M. and Valentina Hundsman in early 2022. The subpoend served on Mr. Napolitan commanded him to produce the complete client-file made during his representation of the Plaintiff in 2014, for the law firm of Jun Shaw & Associates, including all starch computer data, such as cudio or video. Mr. Napolitan entirely ignored the subpoend, and failed to produce said material. Thereafter, Plaintiff wrote two seperate letters to Mr. Napolitan, informing him of his legal responsibilities concerning the federal subpoend, notifying him of his legal referent performence, and requesting that he immediately comply with the subpoend that was properly served on him in early 2022. Plaintiff sent these letters in late 2022, and early 2023, and received no response.

2,

.
to
agent.
<u> </u>
alters_
,
to
GS
his
such
ourt.
0782
S. m. initial
0782

Dear Clerk.	
Please file this Motion in Hurdsman V. Gleason, et a	Case No. A-22-254-Rp
<u> </u>	Thouk you.
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·
·	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
· 	
·	
	サンジ Reviews

CEGAL MAIL

Texas 79601

TERRAR TOTAL TRAINER

SELECT STREET, SEE

Hustin, Texas 78701